3

4 5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14 15

17 18

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS

March 3, 2010

Present: Mr. Meetze, Elaine Perrine, Torrey Rush, Joshua McDuffie, Susanne Cecere, Sheldon Cooke, William Smith

Called to order: 1:15 pm

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: We will call the March Meeting of the Board of Zoning Appeals to order. We do have a quorum here today and at this time Ms. Amelia Linder, our attorney, will give instructions to the applicants who are coming up to speak today.

MS. LINDER: Thank you Mr. Chairman. Good afternoon ladies and gentlemen, my name is Amelia Linder, I'm the attorney for the Board of Zoning Appeals. I would like to take a few minutes and just go over some procedures on how we're going to operate this afternoon. We should not be here that long this afternoon because we only have two cases. What's gonna happen is we'll take up the cases as they're presented on the Agenda and then the applicant will have a chance to come to the podium, introduce him or herself and present their case, what they're asking for. And it would really help show the, the Board, whom I represent, how you meet the criteria of our Land Development Code. If there's any opposition after the applicant has spoken, they will have up three minutes to oppose what the applicant is requesting and then the applicant again has five minutes to rebut the opposition. Please when you're at the podium address all your comments to the Board Members, they will be the ones making the decision today. If you're coming to the podium and if you plan to speak, you will be under oath and I will give you the oath as we expect you to tell the truth as you're, as you're speaking to us. Please speak into the microphone. We're not gonna be quite as formal as a court, but this is a *quasi* judicial body, which means that the decisions the Board makes today will be a final decision subject to next month's, when they approve the Minutes and then once that, the Minutes are approved, then their decision is final. An order will be sent to all the persons of interest, including the applicant and then if you're not happy with the decision that the Board makes, you have 30 days to appeal to circuit court. Are there any questions about what's gonna happen this afternoon? Okay, if you have a cell phone, if you would please turn it to silent or vibrate or turn it off. If you're planning to speak, make sure you signed the sign-in sheet so we've got your name and address. If you need to leave, we just ask that you leave quietly. Okay, at this time if you are planning to speak and address the Board, I'd like to ask you to stand and raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you shall give shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?

AUDIENCE: I do.

MS. LINDER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Alright, the first item of business is the approval of the Minutes from February, but nobody has seen the Minutes as far as I know, so.

MS. HAYNES: Geo, do you want to explain?

MR. PRICE: No, he can do it.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: At this point -

MS. HAYNES: The Minutes, we had a problem downloading them -

MR. PRICE: Could you come up to the microphone? [Laughter]

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Could you speak into the microphone please?

MS. HAYNES: We had a problem downloading the Minutes, so you haven't seen them yet, you'll get them in your package next month.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CASE NO: 09-27 SE:

be.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Alright, so we will at this point defer the, the Minutes until, from 2000, from February, 2010 -

MS. HAYNES: If you recall there were no cases.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: That's correct. So at this time if Mr. Price would please call the first case?

MR. PRICE: Allow me to pull this up for you. Okay, the first case is, the first item is case 09-27, SE, it's a Special Exception. The applicant is Carl Parrot, who's representing I believe it's Rhema Word Restoration Ministries. The applicant is requesting to establish a place of worship on property zoned single-family low density and it is to be located at 908 North Brickyard Road. The subject parcel is a little bit more three acres and we have it designed as residential because there was an existing home on the property, so that seems to be the last use for it. The, the existing residential structure on the property is about 1,500 square feet. The applicant will need to state for the Record what the intended use will be for that particular structure; it could be used as a parsonage for the church, it could also be used as, you know, commercial use for the church essentially. So they we need to establish what the will, the use will The surrounding is a mixture of single-family residential, institutional and commercial structures and uses. Along the, the rear portion of the property is, it's a large tract that is currently undeveloped and as you can see it's a lot along these wetlands that runs through the rear of the adjacent parcels, so we're not sure exactly sure how the likelihood of that being developed, but we have Carl Parrott here to represent Rhema Word Restoration Ministries, kind of go through some of the pictures

for the, the site. This is a view of the, this is a view of North Brickyard Road from the site. As you can see this is right near the existing residential structure that I referenced earlier. You can see it's a pretty good distance from North Brickyard Road. This is the abutting parcel and once we go to an aerial you will see it, but this is the parcel if facing the property, this is located right of the subject parcel. And this some of the vacant land in front of it, this is all on the same, this is the same parcel where the applicant is requesting to place the church. Once again another view, now this is the existing residential structure, this is the rear of the property, as you can see a good bit of trees behind it, but in the immediate area it is vacant. The rear of the property. And this is a view from North Brickyard Road looking in. And here's a site plan of the proposed structure. I actually met with the applicant and also the gentleman who designed the plans for them to insure that what is depicted on here would meet the requirements for a place a worship such as the location of the parking spaces, setbacks of the drive. So, the, the development standards have been applied to this site plan. Another view of the proposed structure and this is more the interior of the proposed structure. That is it for my presentation.

[Smith in at 1:11pm]

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Alright, at this time I'd like to call the applicant, Mr. Carl Parrott? Please state your name and address for the Record.

TESTIMONY OF CARL PARROT:

MR. PARROT: My name is Carl Parrot, address, 908 North Brickyard Road.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: If you would, tell us about what you're proposing for the site?

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. PARROT: The proposed plan was actually what you viewed on the screen. 1 We desire to put a place of worship there, we're a community based church. I even 2 read over some of the information that we filled out as it pertains to some of the criteria. 3 You asked about the noise level, whether we would bring down the value of the 4 community by way of looks, and I think that we would actually enhance that area by way 5 6 of what we're doing because we're a community based church. The most noise that you'll probably have is kids outside running in the yard like any other family would. 7 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Are there any questions from the Board for the 8 9 applicant at this time? MS. CECERE: Yes, I do. Mr. Parrott, you have a church now? 10 MR. PARROT: Yes, ma'am. 11 MS. CECERE: And where is it located? 12 MR. PARROT: We are actually located inside of an office plaza off of Trenholm 13 Road Extension, it's, the actual location is 208 Dawson Road, and we have several 14 buildings that are actually connected that we share parking spaces. 15 MS. CECERE: And how many members do you have in your church? 16 17 MR. PARROT: With children and all it's approximately about a hundred. MS. CECERE: I'm sorry? 18 MR. PARROT: With children and all it's probably about a hundred or so. 19 20 MS. CECERE: Okay, and the proposed church will be how many? MR. PARROT: The proposed church -21 MS. CECERE: How many people? 22 23 MR. PARROT: - we'll probably seat no more than about 300 hundred people.

MS. CECERE: And there is an existing structure on that property, what will 1 happen to that? 2 3 MR. PARROT: We intend to continue to use it as like a parsonage type facility for the church. 4 MS. CECERE: So someone will be living there? 5 MR. PARROT: It'll would probably be a place where I would use, you know, for 6 residence and stuff. 7 MS. CECERE: Okay. And one thing I noticed on where it says the structure is, 8 9 is proposed, I guess 4,000 square feet sanctuary and it says with classrooms, offices, a media room and a café? 10 MR. PARROT: Yes, ma'am. 11 MS. CECERE: Explain the café to me, please? 12 MR. PARROT: A small room, we have what we call Rhema Café now and it's 13 just a corner in the back of the church where after church we sell like juices and snacks 14 and whatnot for the children. 15 MS. CECERE: So it's sort of like, you wouldn't have like a, I know at my church 16 17 we have like in our fellowship hall we have like a kitchen on the end and -MR. PARROT: Oh, no, it's not gonna be a, a kitchen set up at all, it will be 18 basically a room that's set up where maybe we have some of my CDs or tapes and also 19 20 maybe some chips, juice and stuff like that, and it will probably be large enough so that if we do like potluck, we'll bring it in there and have it set up so that people can get trays 21 22 or whatnot while they're exiting the church.

MS. CECERE: Okay, okay, thank you. I have a question for Mr. Price. Mr. 1 Price, was the signage discussed in regards to this piece of property? 2 MR. PRICE: No, ma'am, it wasn't. Based on what our current Code allows 3 within a residential district or -4 MS. CECERE: Um-hum (affirmative) -5 MR. PRICE: - or a rural district, they're limited to a sign of 50 square feet, no 6 more than four feet in height if located within the required setbacks, which would be 25'. 7 If located outside of the 25' setback, it can go up to six feet, but it still remains no more 8 9 than 50 square feet. MS. CECERE: Do you understand that? 10 MR. PARROT: I, it -11 MS. CECERE: Because most churches would like to have like some type of 12 signage out front saying what -13 MR. PARROT: Oh, the sign? 14 MS. CECERE: - right. 15 MR. PARROT: Saying that we can't have it more than five feet or six feet tall? 16 MR. PRICE: Four feet tall. 17 MR. PARROT: Four feet? 18 MR. PRICE: No more than 50 square feet. 19 20 MR. PARROTT: Okay, that shouldn't be a problem at all. MR. RUSH: Mr. Price, as far as the residence that's still existing, is it in 21 compliance with that, would that be a part of the worship facility? 22 23 MR. PRICE: If it is, if it's intended -

MR. RUSH: Place of worship?

MR. PRICE: - if it's intended to be used for anything other than residential, I believe the Building Code would require them to, to submit plans and do any convergence that would be necessary to make it comply with commercial standards. If it is intended to be used for residential, there really shouldn't be much of an issue.

MR. RUSH: I guess I'm asking because in C in our Ordinance, Ordinance, it says no parking spaces or drives shall be located or closer than 20' to a residence.

MR. PRICE: Well, yeah -

MR. RUSH: So if that's gonna be used as a residence with parking all around it -

MR. PRICE: Well, typically that's for exterior properties, if you have abutting parcels. It's very similar to what we do, when we deal with cell towers in which we say a cell tower has to meet a certain distance, but if you're gonna put a cell tower on the same property as your home, you know, you understand the risk from the beginning so you don't get that same level of protection.

MR. COOKE: Mr. Price, it says residence not associated with the place of worship, so we're assuming that that, that residence is associated with a place of worship.

MR. PRICE: Yes, if, if it is to be used as a parsonage, yes.

MS. CECERE: Mr. Price, let's say this church, I have a, just a hypothetical question here, if this building went up and, and the church let's say would not make it, then what would happen to this building?

MR. PRICE: You would have an, a building that would be approved for, to be used as a, a place of worship.

MS. CECERE: Only? It couldn't be used as anything else?

MR. PRICE: No, ma'am.

MS. CECERE: Alright.

MR. PRICE: Any changes to that would have to meet the requirements of our Code and if it's not an outright permitted use it requires a special exception, it would have to come back before the Board of Zoning Appeals. I don't know of any uses within a single-family that aren't residential that they could come here to be a detriment to the surrounding properties.

MS. CECERE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Are there any other questions either for Staff or for the applicant at this time? We have several other individuals that were signed up to speak for. I don't believe that they stood to be sworn in.

MR. PARROT: They're actually not speaking for, they're just in support.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: They're here in support of? Okay. We do not have anyone signed up against, so, at this time, if we could get someone to go through the, the Findings of Fact for us?

MR. COOKE: Okay, have the Findings of Facts for the special requirements for the Special Exception been met? I'm want to, I'm gonna say yes. Will traffic be impacted by this proposal? No. Will this proposal affect vehicle or pedestrian safety? No, it won't affect vehicle and pedestrian safety. Is there a potential impact of noise, lights, fumes or obstruction of, of air flow to the adjoining properties? The answer to that would be also no. Would the proposed use have an adverse impact on aesthetic

character of the environs? That's also going to be no. Are the orientation and spacing 1 of, of improvements or buildings appropriate? At this time I'm going to say yes. 2 3 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Alright, Mr., does anyone wish to make a motion at this time? 4 MR. COOKE: I'd like to make a motion that we move to approve Exception 09-5 6 27 based on the facts of the finding. MS. PERRINE: I second. 7 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Okay, we have a motion and it has been properly 8 9 seconded. Mr. Price, do you want to call roll? MS. LINDER: Mr., Mr. Chairman if, if I may just ask the maker of the motion, is 10 that subject to conditions of the Land Development Code? Is it approved with the 11 condition, as long as the church meets those conditions? 12 MR. COOKE: Yes, as long as the church meets those conditions. 13 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: I would like to also put in a timeframe beyond which 14 the church would have to come back and, and reapply for, for the Special Exception. 15 So I would say a timeframe of within two years to be actually occupying the, the facility. 16 17 Is that an acceptable amendment? MS. CECERE: Yes. 18 MR. COOKE: That's an acceptable amendment. 19 20 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Okay. Mr. Price, do you want to call roll? MR. PRICE: I guess for the Record, Mr. Smith, will you be voting? 21 MR. SMITH: I will be. 22 23 MR. PRICE: Okay, okay, you can go ahead.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Okay, all in favor?

MR. PRICE: Those in favor, Meetze, Perrine, Rush, McDuffie, Cecere, Cooke and Smith.

[Approved: Meetze, Perrine, Rush, McDuffie, Cecere, Cooke, Smith]

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: I believe that's everyone that's here today. Alright, Mr. Parrot you have your Special Exception and staff will be in touch. Mr. Price, will you call our next case?

CASE NUMBER 10-02 V:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. PRICE: Okay, the next case, well the next item is Case 10-02V, the City of Columbia is represented by Joseph Jaco. I believe we have discussed who the applicant will be and it is the City of Columbia. The location, we don't have a specific address, it is on Heritage Hills Drive. According to our records, it's about a little less than what, well a little more than, excuse me, than six-hundredths of an acre. The existing land use is a, almost at grade water facility. I don't believe there's much height to it. The, as I said the subject property has an existing water facility. The applicant is proposing to house a pressure reducing station within a 200 square foot building. The area is comprised of residential subdivisions and large undeveloped tracts. Let me go through this. What we have found is that this parcel was, is originally part of the Heritage Hills Subdivision and it was actually approved through our Department, which I just recently found out, so it may be contradictory to what you have in your discussion with the Land Development Code, but it was found that it was approved, there was a lot split, which I'm trying to understand because it shouldn't have been approved with the square footage. Thirty-three thousand is the required lot width for a, excuse me, lot area for a rural lot, however it is a lot of record and it's been recorded. So, what the applicant is, they're coming into, to erect a structure and that structure of course would need to meet the required setbacks in the rural district, which would be 40 front, 50 rear, 20 sides. Due to the configuration of the lot I don't declare this to have a rear, it's really two, a front and two sides. You know, so their setbacks are 40, 20, 20 and they are unable to meet those setbacks for this addition, so they had to come to the Board of Zoning Appeals for a variance to encroach into the required setbacks. I believe I have stated in here that they will be encroaching 23' from the front, 10 from the southern side of the property, and four, about four and half feet from the northern side of the property. This is a picture of the site and this is, you know, what's out there currently. This is, would be across the street from it, it looks like a greenhouse back in this area, but it's a large tract, it's, looks more for agricultural type use. Another view of the site. And this is the proposed addition, I couldn't get the entire site plan on there, but this pretty much tells you what they're looking to put here and shows you the encroachments. As the applicant stated there will be a chain link fence with a swing gate around it. And that will be all.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Alright, at this, I'd like to call the, the applicant to, to the stand. Would you please state your name and address for the Record?

TESTIMONY OF JAMES MAZZY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. MAZZY: Okay, good afternoon, I'm James Mazzy, Brown and Caldwell, we are consultants for the City of Columbia, the design engineers for this project.

MR. PRICE: Just, just for the Record I, I did speak with the City of Columbia representative and they did state they would have others coming to actually present the case.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: If you could please state your, your address for the Record as well.

MR. MAZZY: 3800 Fernandina Road in Columbia.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: And if, if you would, please enlighten us as to what you're proposing?

MR. MAZZY: This, this project, the purpose of this building is, is a part of a much larger project. As you I'm sure are well aware the City of Columbia is investing a lot of capitol into their water system infrastructure in Northeast Columbia, a lot of pipeline, large pump stations, storage tanks and this is just one of those components of that system to help deliver water to Northeast Columbia. The conclusion of this project here, which also included some pipe line in the adjacent areas, delivering approximately four million gallons of water a day through this, this line. The existing infrastructure is a tec(?) valve that was installed by the City years ago in 2001 when the property was deeded back to the City of Columbia. As a part of the improvements, the intended use of this parcel, attempting to deconstruct this pressure releasing valve. It'd be a really small building, house two valves, some control equipment, some lights and low meter that will register back to the Canal and the Lake Murray Water Treatment Plant.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Alright, are there any questions for the applicant at this time?

MS. CECERE: I have a question. Close to this is a water tower and, on property I assume that also belongs to the City, why was it not put there?

MR. MAZZY: I, ma'am, I don't have the answer to that question right now. This is Jason Shaw, he's with the City of Columbia, he's a hydraulics engineer, but that, that tank's actually been out of service.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Mr. Shaw, were you sworn in today?

MR. SHAW: No.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Okay, would you please?

MS. LINDER: Would you please raise your right hand? Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you shall give shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?

MR. SHAW: I do.

MS. LINDER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Please, please state your name and address for the Record?

TESTIMONY OF JASON SHAW:

MR. SHAW: My name is Jason Shaw, I'm the Assistant City Engineer for Planning for the City of Columbia and I'm at 1136 Washington Street here in Columbia. That tank has been out of service for several years now. It's immediately north of this site and the, the pressure reducing station allows us to separate what we call water pressure zones, which help us regulate the ability to maintain pressure in, in certain areas of the city. And where that, where that tank is wasn't at the place that we felt it

was most appropriate to have a pressure zone break between what we call our 1 Northeast Pressure Zone and what we call our Horseshoe Pressure Zone. 2 MS. CECERE: Well, I don't know, I don't know much about -3 MR. SHAW: Well, it's kind -4 MS. CECERE: - water pressure, but my, my problem with that is when, every 5 6 time you, there is a piece of property and you've already messed it up with a tower that's now you're telling me not functional and now you're going to go to another piece 7 of property and you're asking for us to make a special exception or variance for that. 8 9 Now, this piece of property is it, does, is it deeded to the city, it, that belongs to the city? MR. SHAW: Yes, ma'am. 10 MS. CECERE: So that makes the subdivision contiguous to a city piece of 11 property? 12 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: And I guess -13 MS. CECERE: Is that? 14 MR. RUSH: I guess another question would be -15 MR. PRICE: Well the, the, may I, may I address the question? 16 MS. CECERE: Go ahead. 17 MR. PRICE: The, the parcel is owned, it's, within the, within the unincorporated 18 area of Richland County, it's just owned by the city, so it's still a county maintained 19 20 piece of property. MS. CECERE: Okay. 21 22 MR. RUSH: Does the property have to, is it a part of the subdivision? It is a part

23

of Heritage?

MS. CECERE: - I drive, I drive up and down that road a good bit and, I mean, I've seen that out, I've seen that out there, but without any, any permit or anything on it.

MR. PRICE: You mean the existing, the existing, let me pull this out -

MS. CECERE: The stakes are in the ground, if you go back to that, yeah.

MR. PRICE: Are you referring -

MS. CECERE: Right there, um-hum (affirmative).

MR. PRICE: Yes? That, normally when you, when we get into the setbacks we're looking at structures, typically anything with a roof on it is considered to be a structure. Usually these type of utility devices that you may see normally don't, just depending on the height, wouldn't be considered a structure, so they're allowed to encroach more, outright without having to go for a variance.

MS. CECERE: How will, how will that impact what it will look like on Wilson Boulevard?

MR. PRICE: You mean the new structure?

MS. CECERE: The new structure and shrubbery and that sort of -

MR. PRICE: We'll require some landscaping.

MS. CECERE: Yeah.

MR. PRICE: I was looking at the plans to see if there was anything that they proposed, but we would require some landscaping. Just, once again, just as we do with cell towers, we try to get them to put some type of landscaping, some shrubbery that would, you know, I don't know if you can totally, you know, block the view of it, but clearly that is just to kind of make it blend in more with the surrounding area and not just stand out as a structure.

MR. RUSH: What do you mean by like instead of a chain link fence? 1 MR. PRICE: Oh no, they may, they can still use the fence, but they may have to 2 put in some, you know, Leland cypresses or some type of, some type of shrubbery that 3 will, as it grows will kind of block the view of it. 4 MR. MAZZY: Mr. Price, if I might, in preparation for this meeting, we've, the city 5 has several of these structures around and we've just recently constructed one and so 6 we took some photos of it and it is very similar to what we're proposing for this site, 7 except that it's gonna have a blue, a metal roof instead, in lieu of a concrete roof. Sort 8 9 of, very similar type of building, this is nearly identical, with different, with a different roof. It's gonna have more of an architectural roof on this new building similar to that. 10 MR. PRICE: And if there, you know, just for, and also if there are some concerns 11 that the Board may have you are allowed to put certain stipulations on your approval. 12 MR. RUSH: So did you talk to any of the representatives with the neighborhood? 13 Like was there a neighborhood or anybody, did anybody with the city? 14 [Inaudible discussion] 15 MR. SHAW: The site is really blocked from view from the neighborhood facing 16 Wilson Boulevard. 17 MR. MEETZE: I believe that is a gated community is it not? 18 MR. PRICE: No, sir. 19 20 MS. CECERE: It's not gated, but it's a -

MR. MEETZE: I thought there was a wall behind that area.

MR. PRICE: No, sir. I've been in there a couple of times, it's not.

21

22

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Are there, are the any other questions for the applicant 1 or for Staff at this time? 2 MR. MEETZE: I have one question which may be irrelevant. What would 3 happen in the event that Highway 21 and Wilson Boulevard is ever four laned? 4 MR. PRICE: That's a good question. In the event that, let's say the road was 5 widened, well, their setback would be decreased more, you know, there may be a case, 6 I don't know if they'd ever be widening that would result in the building having to be 7 moved, but if that were the case, then we would probably have to revisit this to see, to 8 9 re-establish it on the property. But I know a lot of times when they're doing these road widenings, the intent is not to encroach into where an existing building is. 10 MS. CECERE: Have you looked at any, have you looked at any other locations 11 where this is possible? 12 MR. MAZZY: This was the logical location, it was the planned location as part of 13 the development of Heritage Hills. The pipeline runs north from Turkey, Turkey Farm, 14 it's an 18" pipeline that runs down towards 21, it's going to -15 MS. CECERE: Then it comes right by that -16 17 MR. MAZZY: There's a -MS. CECERE: - we're back to that tower again. It comes right by that tower if it 18 comes from Turkey Farm Road. 19 20 MR. MAZZY: Yes, ma'am, that pipeline was just installed lately. MS. CECERE: Right. 21 MR. RUSH: I don't know, I, I guess my concern, you know, in light of those 22 23 pictures, just making sure that, because I know that neighborhood is gonna, there's

1 Sc 2 Oc 3 Cc 4 nc 5 re

some new architectural board or, and if you put something in your backyard there's out, or a swing set in your backyard, so if this is still a part of that neighborhood, that would come under, under the same regulations or the deed restrictions or covenants of that neighborhood. So I would, you know, I would like to defer this case actually and let the representatives of that neighborhood at least be able to see that or be able to examine the architectural plans of that -

MR. PRICE: I, I would welcome input from your attorney at this time, but I believe that the county is only, when it comes to restrictions and covenants, we, we deal mostly with use. If there's a use that would be prohibited by any covenants or restrictions then we would have to honor that, but as far as any type of architectural standards that would be imposed on this, we have no legal standing from a county of upholding that.

MR. RUSH: Well, I understand that, but if the subdivision, they a right -

MR. PRICE: They do, I mean, one of the things that we did look at -

Mr. RUSH: [inaudible]

MR. PRICE: - - one of the things that we did look at as a staff and which we typically deal when we're dealing with these type of requests that come before you is that if there's gonna be a major impact on the community, we, we suggest talk to the abutting neighbors. However, if you look at this, this is, while it was actually a part, the parent parcel was part of the Heritage Hills Subdivision, this piece that was cut out is actually outside of it. It's, it's on Wilson Boulevard, it's not within the subdivision.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFE: That, that being the case, I mean, this has been posted properly and, and advertised and the, the residents of the neighborhood have certainly

had an opportunity and will continue to have an opportunity for the next month to voice
any concerns that they might have.
MR. PRICE: And, and, and -

MR. RUSH: Well, it's been posted, but at the same time those pictures weren't, they didn't talk to the neighborhood folk.

MR. PRICE: Right and, and, you know, once again -

MR. RUSH: [Inaudible].

MR. PRICE: - once again I welcome input from your attorney, but I believe what you have before you is not necessary a, a request to establish this particular use which would typically be found as a special exception. But I'm just saying what we're looking at before us is that there's a parcel with certain conditions that would prevent the, a use and that's what's before you, not so much the station because whatever is put there would require a variance. But I believe your attorney can -

MS. LINDER: If any Board Member would like legal advice, at this time I'd recommend an Executive Session.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: I, I have one question for Staff before we may or may not break for Executive Session. But, if we were to approve a variance, could we put the same types of restrictions that we would normally put on variances or special exceptions for if the use is discontinued, that kind of thing?

MR. PRICE: Sure.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: I can't see any, any -

MR. PRICE: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: - obviously any instance when the city is not going to be using this for a water system, but, but again I never knew that they might have a water tower that was not being used either, so.

MS. CECERE: And within a half a mile.

MR. PRICE: Well I, I think you can put some issues on there.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: does anyone feel the need for a, to break for Executive Session for the purposes of receiving legal advice at this, at this time? Okay, would anyone care to go through the Findings of Fact?

MS. PERRINE: I'll try.

CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Okay. Thank you.

MS. PERRINE: Are there extraordinary and exceptional conditions pertaining to the particular piece of property? I'd say yes. Do the conditions generally apply to other property in the vicinity? No. Would application of this chapter to this particular piece of property effectively prohibit or unreasonably restrict the utilization of the property because of the aforesaid extraordinary and exceptional conditions?

MR. RUSH: Can I ask a question if you don't mind? Mr. Price, even with that first question are there any -

MR. PRICE: Extraordinary and exceptional -

MR. RUSH: - extraordinary and exceptional circumstances of the property, if you create those extraordinary conditions by cutting the property that small and try to build something on it, [inaudible] extraordinary or just buying a regular lot that drops off the side of the hill that -

MR. PRICE: Yeah, if there was a piece of property that you bought knowing that 1 you would have trouble building upon, I would say yes, you know, you, you kind of took 2 that risk upon yourself. Unfortunately this parcel was actually approved by the county. 3 It never should have been approved anyway, so it was approved by the county prior to 4 them placing any type of utilities on the property. 5 6 MS. PERRINE: I think I was at number six and that answer would be yes. Will the granting of this variance be of substantial detriment to adjacent property or to the 7 public good or will it harm the character of the district? No. Therefore I make a motion 8 9 that we approve this variance with stipulations that they put some type of landscaping around -10 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Screening from view? 11 MS. PERRINE: - screening, um-hum (affirmative), and did we want to put -12 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: I'd like to see a time, a time on the discontinuance of 13 use in it as well -14 MS. PERRINE: - so if it's not -15 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: - for a period of one year. 16 MS. PERRINE: - okay, if it's not being used in a year then it would be removed. 17 Okay. 18 MR. PRICE: So if it's discontinued for a year, the structure would need to be 19 20 removed? MS. PERRINE: Right. 21 CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Is there a second? 22 23 MR. SMITH: I second that.

	24
1	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Alright, we have a motion that's been seconded. At
2	this time all in favor?
3	MR. PRICE: Those in favor are, Meetze, Perrine, McDuffie, Cooke, Smith.
4	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: All opposed?
5	MR. PRICE: Those opposed, Rush, Cecere.
6	[Approved: Meetze, Perrine, McDuffie, Cooke, Smith; Opposed: Rush, Cecere]
7	MR. RUSH: Are those, are those pictures part of the Record now?
8	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Yes.
9	MR. RUSH: I would like to -
10	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: Alright, you have your variance and Staff will be in
11	touch. Thank you very much.
12	MR. MAZZY: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN MCDUFFIE: I guess at this time are there any other business?
14	Alright, hearing none, meeting adjourned.
15	
16	[Meeting Adjourned at 1:50 pm]